

# Albany, New York

July 17, 2025

This report does not constitute a rating action.

## Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings' long-term and underlying rating on the City of [Albany](#), N.Y.'s general obligation (GO) bonds is 'A+'.
- The outlook is stable.
- The rating reflects the application of our criteria, "[Methodology For Rating U.S. Governments](#)" Sept. 9, 2024.

## Rationale

### Security

The city's faith-and-credit and resources pledge and agreement to levy ad valorem property taxes without limitation as to rate or amount secure its GO bonds.

### Credit overview

Albany's general creditworthiness reflects a stable taxing base that is supported by a significant number of state-owned property and government jobs, given the city's status as New York State's capital. Albany has consistently balanced financial operations and a manageable debt profile. However, its available reserve position, as measured by assigned and unassigned fund balances, significantly trail state peers and is low for the rating. In addition, although New York State provides the city with significant intergovernmental aid, the state's large presence within the city limits its ability to raise own-source revenue because 63% of land within the city is tax exempt. Local incomes trail those of wider Albany County (AA/Positive), which somewhat weakens our view of the city's local economy. Because large government, medical, and educational institutions anchor Albany's economy, economic development has picked up and reversed a long-term trend of population declines, adding stability to our view of the rating in recent years. However, near-term pressures in federal government employment and our expectation for continued macroeconomic uncertainty could have effects on the city's revenue or tax base. For more information, please see "[Global Economic Outlook Q3 2025: Unpredictable U.S. Policy Clouds Global Growth Prospects](#)," June 25, 2025.

### Primary contacts

**Rahul Chakraborty**

New York  
2124381864  
Rahul.Chakraborty  
@spglobal.com

**Christian Richards**

Washington DC  
1-617-530-8325  
christian.richards  
@spglobal.com

Additional factors in support of the rating include our view of Albany's:

- Stabilizing population trends with recent modest growth, following decades of population decline, but with low wealth and income levels relative to county and national averages. We expect growth in gross county product per capita metrics will be roughly equal to that of the nation over a five-year period;
- Generally stable financial performance and somewhat reduced available reserve levels in recent years, with performance aided by federal stimulus funds, property tax increases, and consistent state support with an expectation that reserves will remain generally stable, albeit low for the rating level over the outlook horizon. The city's revenue mix is predominantly property tax (27%), state aid (26%), and sales taxes (20%).
- Stable debt profile, with approximately \$20 million-\$30 million in annual issuances as part of the city's five-year capital improvement plan (CIP) somewhat offset, in part, by elevated other postemployment benefit (OPEB) liabilities with likely increasing costs. We do not expect the debt profile will escalate beyond manageable issuances as part of Albany's CIP.
- Solid management framework that reflects an emphasis on cautious budgeting practices.
- Generally stable operating environment for New York municipalities. For more information on our institutional framework assessment for New York municipalities, see "[Institutional Framework Assessment: New York Local Governments](#)," Sept. 10, 2024.

## **Environmental, social, and governance**

We view social factors as neutral in our analysis. We view the state's governance regarding the lack of a mechanism to prefund OPEB as a weakness for New York local governments. Due to Albany's location on the Hudson River, the city has occasional flooding exposure. It has continued to invest in storm water-runoff infrastructure, particularly limiting runoff into the Hudson River.

## **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects our view that the city will maintain its stable overall financial profile throughout the two-year outlook horizon, supported by strong state support and good economic momentum.

## **Downside scenario**

Should an already low level of available reserves decline further, this could pressure the rating. In addition, should Albany issue substantial amounts of debt beyond our current anticipation, we could lower the rating.

## **Upside scenario**

If the city's economic metrics were to improve with tax base growth and diversification, thereby lessening dependence on state aid, while increasing general fund available reserves, we could take a positive rating action.

# Credit Opinion

## Economy

The city has experienced improved economic momentum over the past decade as a number of economic development projects benefitted the broader Albany-Troy-Schenectady metropolitan statistical area, leading to economic momentum and increasing income trends throughout the region. One of the most noteworthy projects occurring at Albany's port involves a major expansion and is set to become the country's first offshore wind tower assembly site. Further supporting growth is recent expansion of the semiconductor chip manufacturing sector, which is positively affecting economic output for the region. New York State is the city's largest employer by a wide margin, employing close to 50,000 people, followed by two large hospitals employing approximately 20,000. Historically, employment in state government, higher education, and health care provided a stabilizing influence in the local economy. Although we expect general stability in the city's economy over the outlook period, the concentration in these sectors makes the city vulnerable to any potential federal revenue disruptions amid a shifting policy outlook.

## Finances

Albany's financial performance has been generally stable from fiscal years 2021 through 2023 in part due to increased sales tax revenue and American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funding. Although we do not have audited information, unaudited fiscal 2024 indicates a planned-for one-time expenditures, but otherwise operations remain within expectations and available fund balance levels have increased to more \$7.0 million from \$6.8 million the year before, which, at approximately 3.5% of revenue, still trails that of most similarly rated peers in the state and the nation. Sales tax receipts were below budget, and police overtime expenses were above expectations, but the city has been able to exercise cost-cutting measures in other expenditures to maintain structural balance while consistently raising its property tax levy within its cap. Albany continues to work with the state to ensure the city continues to receive full capital-city funding, which consists of a portion of Albany's state aid, and reduce its reliance on landfill revenue. We expect \$5 million in increased state support and tightened expenditure controls should help the city maintain balanced operations even with our expectation for continued softening of sales tax receipts for fiscal 2025. Therefore, we think Albany's reserves will likely remain relatively stable within the outlook horizon.

## Management

We view the city's management framework as consistent with that of peers of its size. Generally, we think the management environment is aided by Albany's formal and informal long-term planning practices but note that the city's lack of formalized reserve policies could continue to contribute a trend of maintaining what we view as low levels of available reserves. Policies and practices include:

- Cautious budgeting practices with a track record of balanced operations. Management monitors the budget biweekly and presents monthly budget-to-actual reports to the board. Management uses at least three-to-four years of trend analysis for revenue and expenditure assumptions and makes adjustments based on recent revenue trends realized or contract considerations.

- Management maintains and annually updates Albany’s five-year CIP that we think helps the city plan how to fund capital expenditures on a forward-looking basis. Capital planning is well communicated through the annual budget and we believe is fairly comprehensive, allowing the city to moderate and plan its debt issuance and cash-funded costs related to capital. Albany does not formally engage in long-term financial planning but informally forecasts sales taxes, payments-in-lieu of taxes revenues, utility costs, and expenses associated with contractual bargaining agreements.
- Albany’s polices are formalized in some but not all key areas. The city has a long-standing debt management policy that limits debt to 10% of budget and a formal investment policy but no formalized policy governing reserves, which we think is a risk given the low unassigned fund balance.

Debt and liabilities

Albany's total direct debt is approximately \$193.7 million. The city expects to issue up to \$20 million-\$30 million of additional debt, commensurate with its rolling five-year CIP, with approximately \$15 million in principal amortizing per year during the same period. We think this level of debt issuance is manageable for the rating level. We view pension and OPEB liabilities as a source of credit pressure for Albany, given that costs represent a large portion of the budget, combined with our expectation that they are likely to rise. Although the city has some legal flexibility to alter OPEB, this might not be politically feasible. Furthermore, it is unable to prefund these costs, increasing the risk they could create budgetary pressure. Offsetting this risk somewhat is the minimal pressure expected from Albany’s pension liabilities, given strong plan funding status and limited escalating cost trajectory risk.

Albany participates in the following plans as of June 30, 2023:

- Police and Fire Retirement System: 87.4% funded, with a proportional share of the net pension liability equal to \$26.4 million.
- Employee Retirement System: 90.8% funded, with a proportional share of the net pension liability equal to \$29.1 million.
- A defined-benefit health care plan that provides retiree health care until death: 0% funded, with an OPEB liability of about \$321.1 million as of Dec. 31, 2023.

Albany, New York--credit summary

|                                 |      |
|---------------------------------|------|
| Institutional framework (IF)    | 2    |
| Individual credit profile (ICP) | 3.11 |
| Economy                         | 3.0  |
| Financial performance           | 2    |
| Reserves and liquidity          | 4    |
| Management                      | 2.30 |
| Debt and liabilities            | 4.25 |

Albany, New York--key credit metrics

|                               | Most recent | 2023 | 2022 | 2021 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|------|------|
| Economy                       |             |      |      |      |
| Real GCP per capita % of U.S. | 168         | 168  | 168  | 171  |

## Albany, New York--key credit metrics

|  | Most recent | 2023      | 2022      | 2021      |
|--|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Economy</b>                               |             |           |           |           |
| County PCPI % of U.S.                        | 113         | 113       | 112       | 114       |
| Market value (\$000s)                        | 6,893,091   | 6,074,997 | 5,446,123 | 5,230,202 |
| Market value per capita (\$)                 | 69,837      | 61,548    | 54,670    | 54,288    |
| Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value          | 7.8         | 7.8       | 8.2       | 8.0       |
| County unemployment rate (%)                 | 3.3         | 3.2       | 3.1       | 4.4       |
| Local median household EBI % of U.S.         | 75          | 75        | 72        | 73        |
| Local per capita EBI % of U.S.               | 84          | 84        | 81        | 80        |
| Local population                             | 98,703      | 98,703    | 99,618    | 96,341    |
| <b>Financial performance</b>                 |             |           |           |           |
| Operating fund revenues (\$000s)             | --          | 217,006   | 198,237   | 196,974   |
| Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)         | --          | 215,551   | 192,209   | 193,367   |
| Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s) | --          | (911)     | (6,589)   | (3,152)   |
| Operating result (\$000s)                    | --          | 544       | (561)     | 455       |
| Operating result % of revenues               | --          | 0.3       | (0.3)     | 0.2       |
| Operating result three-year average %        | --          | 0.1       | (1.8)     | (0.5)     |
| <b>Reserves and liquidity</b>                |             |           |           |           |
| Available reserves % of operating revenues   | --          | 3.2       | 3.8       | 4.9       |
| Available reserves (\$000s)                  | --          | 6,878     | 7,472     | 9,554     |
| <b>Debt and liabilities</b>                  |             |           |           |           |
| Debt service cost % of revenues              | --          | 7.5       | 6.1       | 7.2       |
| Net direct debt per capita (\$)              | 1,963       | 1,034     | 1,173     | 1,221     |
| Net direct debt (\$000s)                     | 193,718     | 102,083   | 116,804   | 117,586   |
| Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)         | 99          | 84        | --        | --        |
| Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues          | --          | 15.0      | 15.0      | 15.0      |
| NPLs per capita (\$)                         | --          | 1,154     | 79        | 234       |
| Combined NPLs (\$000s)                       | --          | 113,935   | 7,839     | 22,497    |

Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and are sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data is generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. Local population is sourced from Claritas. Claritas estimates are point in time and not meant to show year-over-year trends. GCP--Gross county product. PCPI--Per capita personal income. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. NPLs--Net pension liabilities.

## Ratings List

## Current Ratings

## Local Government

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Albany, NY Unlimited Tax General Obligation | A+/Stable |
|---|-----------|

The ratings appearing below the new issues represent an aggregation of debt issues (ASID) associated with related maturities. The maturities similarly reflect our opinion about the creditworthiness of the U.S. Public Finance obligor's legal pledge for payment of the financial obligation. Nevertheless, these maturities may have different credit ratings than the rating presented next to the ASID depending on whether or not additional legal pledge(s) support the specific maturity's payment obligation, such as credit enhancement, as a result of defeasance, or other factors.

Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees](http://www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.